Attorney's Docket No.: 16113-0330001 / GP-138-00-US Applicant: Weissman et al.

Serial No.: 10/741,303

Filed:

December 18, 2003

2 of 16 Page:

## LISTING OF CLAIMS

This listing of claims replaces all prior versions and listings of claims in the application:

1. (Previously Presented) A computer-implemented method, comprising:

receiving, from a user, a request for information that includes a definition of a concept list comprising an origin concept, a relationship between the origin concept and an evaluated concept, and a distance representing a strength of the relationship between the origin concept and the evaluated concept, and a target scope that characterizes a document region to which the concept list is to be applied;

receiving a definition of an extraction rule, wherein the extraction rule definition comprises an extraction scope that characterizes a document region to be extracted;

determining a target score for the document regions of the article, wherein the score represents how well the document regions relate to the concept list;

applying the extraction rule to the article to determine an extract from the article, wherein the application of the extraction rule is based on the determined target score; and outputting the extract in response to the request for information.

- 2. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein applying the extraction rule comprises extracting a plurality of extracts.
- 3. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 2, further comprising sorting the extracts based on the extraction rule.
- 4. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, further comprising selecting a first extract from the article for output based on the target score.

Serial No.: 10/741,303

Filed: December 18, 2003

Page:

3 of 16

5. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, further comprising receiving a target score formula for determining the target score.

- 6. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 5, wherein determining the target score comprises using the target score formula.
- 7. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the request for information further comprises a gist defined as a vector of weighted concepts.
- 8. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the request for information further comprises a concept set that comprises the concept list and a second concept.
- 9. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 8, wherein the second concept comprises a product of set operations on two or more other concepts.
- 10. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 7, wherein the gist comprises a user defined gist.
- 11. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 7, wherein the gist comprises a calculated gist of a document region.
- 12. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the document region characterized by the target scope comprises an article, a sentence, or a term.
- 13. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the document region characterized by the extraction scope comprises an article, a sentence, or a term.

Serial No.:

10/741,303

Filed:

December 18, 2003

Page:

4 of 16

14. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, further comprising preprocessing the article, wherein preprocessing comprises:

determining at least one concept associated with the article; and determining a gist of the article.

15. (Previously Presented) An article comprising one or more computer-readable data storage media containing program code operable to cause one or more machines to perform operations, the operations comprising:

receiving, from a user, a request for information that includes a definition of a concept list comprising an origin concept, a relationship between the origin concept and an evaluated concept, and a distance representing a strength of the relationship between the origin concept and the evaluated concept, and a target scope that characterizes a document region to which the target rule is to be applied;

receiving a definition of an extraction rule, wherein the extraction rule definition comprises an extraction scope that characterizes a document region to be extracted;

determining a target score for the document regions of the article, wherein the score represents how well the document regions relate to the concept list;

applying the extraction rule to the article to determine an extract from the article, wherein the application of the extraction rule is based on the determined target score; and outputting the extract in response to the request for information.

16. (Previously Presented) The article of claim 15, wherein applying the extraction rule comprises extracting a plurality of extracts..

Attorney's Docket No.: 16113-0330001 / GP-138-00-US Applicant: Weissman et al.

Serial No.: 10/741,303

December 18, 2003

Filed: Page:

5 of 16

17. (Previously Presented) The article of claim 16, further comprising sorting the extracts based on the extraction rule.

- 18. (Previously Presented) The article of claim 15, further comprising selecting a first extract from the article for output based on the target score.
- 19. (Previously Presented) The article of claim 15, further comprising receiving a target score formula for determining the target score.
- 20. (Previously Presented) The article of claim 19, wherein determining the target score comprises using the target score formula.
- 21. (Previously Presented) The article of claim 15, wherein the request for information further comprises a gist defined as a vector of weighted concepts.
- 22. (Previously Presented) The article of claim 15, wherein the request for information further comprises a concept set that comprises the concept list and a second concept.
- 23. (Previously Presented) The article of claim 22, wherein the second concept comprises a product of set operations on two or more other concepts.
- 24. (Previously Presented) The article of claim 21, wherein the gist comprises a user defined gist.
- 25. (Previously Presented) The article of claim 21 wherein the gist comprises a calculated gist of a document region.

Serial No.:

10/741,303

Filed: Page: December 18, 2003 6 of 16

26. (Previously Presented) The article of claim 15, wherein the document region characterized by the target scope comprises an article, a sentence, or a term.

27. (Previously Presented) The article of claim 15, wherein the document region characterized by the extraction scope comprises an article, a sentence, or a term.

28. (Previously Presented) The article of claim 15, further comprising preprocessing the article, wherein preprocessing comprises:

determining at least one concept associated with the article; and determining a gist of the article.

29. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein:

the origin concept comprises a group of related words, relationships with other concepts, the strengths of the relationships, and statistics regarding the usage of the origin concept in a language.

Claims 30.-34. (Canceled)

35. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein receiving the definition of the extraction rule further comprises receiving a definition of a sort order in which extracts are to be sorted for output.

36. (Previously Presented) The article of claim 15, wherein receiving the definition of the extraction rule further comprises receiving a definition of a sort order in which extracts are to be sorted for output.

Serial No.: 10/741,303

Filed: December 18, 2003

Page: 7 of 16

37. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the distance comprises a numeric representation of the strength of the relationship between the origin concept and the evaluated concept.

- 38. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the relationship comprises one of "is a product of", "is a part of", "is a kind of", "has kind", or "has part".
- 39. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the origin concept comprises at least one search term.
- 40. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 8, wherein the concept set further comprises at least one set operation.
- 41. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 40, wherein the set operation comprises one of "AND", "OR", and "AND NOT".
- 42. (Previously Presented) The article of claim 15, wherein the distance comprises a numeric representation of the strength of the relationship between the origin concept and the evaluated concept.
- 43. (Previously Presented) The article of claim 15, wherein the relationship comprises one of "is a product of", "is a part of", "is a kind of", "has kind", or "has part".
- 44. (Previously Presented) The article of claim 15, wherein the origin concept comprises at least one search term.
- 45. (Previously Presented) The article of claim 22, wherein the concept set further comprises at least one set operation.

Serial No.:

10/741,303

Filed:

December 18, 2003

Page: 8 of 16

46. (Previously Presented) The article of claim 45, wherein the set operation comprises one of "AND", "OR", and "AND NOT".

47. (Previously Presented) A computer-implemented method for extracting a subset of a document, comprising:

receiving, from a user, a request for information that describes a combination of two or more concept lists, wherein each concept list is defined by an origin concept, a relationship between the origin concept and an evaluated concept, and a distance representing a strength of the relationship between the origin concept and the evaluated concept, wherein the two or more concept lists are combined using an operation to define a target definition that is to be detected;

receiving a description of a document region targeted for extraction;

accessing a document;

based on the target definition and the document regions targeted for extraction, extracting one or more regions of the accessed document; and

making the extracted regions available for output in response to the request for information.

48. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 47, wherein the origin concepts each comprise a lexical concept defined by a group of related words and relationships with related concepts.